



Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

ITW

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

17

Application Number

10/642,534

Filing Date

August 14, 2003

First Named Inventor

Jane Jiaying Jin, et al.

Art Unit

2131

Examiner Name

Revak, Christopher A.

Attorney Docket Number

CISCO-8126

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)

☐ Fee Transmittal Form

☐ Fee Attached

☐ Amendment / Reply

☐ After Final

☐ Affidavits/declaration(s)

☐ Extension of Time Request

☐ Express Abandonment Request

☐ Information Disclosure Statement

☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)

☐ Reply to Missing Parts/
Incomplete Application

☐ Reply to Missing Parts
under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53

☐ Drawing(s)

☐ Licensing-related Papers

☐ Petition

☐ Petition to Convert to a
Provisional Application

☐ Power of Attorney, Revocation
Change of Correspondence Address

☐ Terminal Disclaimer

☐ Request for Refund

☐ CD, Number of CD(s) _____

☐ Landscape Table on CD

☐ After Allowance Communication to TC

☐ Appeal Communication to Board
of Appeals and Interferences

☐ Appeal Communication to TC
(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)

☐ Proprietary Information

☐ Status Letter

☒ Other Enclosure(s)
(please identify below):

Applicant/Attorney Interview Summary
Return postcard

Remarks

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm

THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP

Signature

Printed Name

Marc S. Hanish

Date

May 18, 2005

Reg.
No.

42,626

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Signature

Typed or printed name

Sharon E. Byam

Date

May 18, 2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

MAY 20 2005

Applicant/Attorney Interview Summary

Application No.: 10/642,534 First Named Applicant: Jane Jiaying Jin, et al.

Examiner: Christopher A. Revak Art Unit: 2131 Status of Application: Pending

Participants: (1) Examiner Christopher A. Revak (2) Marc S. Hanish, Reg. No. 42,626

(3) _____ (4) _____

Date of Interview: May 18, 2005 Time: 2:30 p.m.

Type of Interview:

(a) ☒ Telephonic

(b) ☐ Personal

(c) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) _____	<u>Figs. 2-4</u>	_____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

☐ Copy of Draft Amendment (attached)

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

An Examiner's Amendment copying description of FIGS. 2-4 from brief description of drawings to detailed description was discussed and agreed to.

Note: The MPEP, section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the Examiner was reached at the interview.

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an Examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the Applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)


(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(CISCO-8126)